

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,446	12/15/2003	Harry Schilling	5858-01800	3081
35617	7590 08/29/2006		EXAMINER	
DAFFER MCDANEIL LLP			WANG, QUAN ZHEN	
P.O. BOX 68 AUSTIN, T			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2613	
			DATE MAILED: 08/29/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/736,446	SCHILLING, HARRY				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Quan-Zhen Wang	2613				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is in any be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 December 2003</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims		:				
4) 🖂	4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alastian raquiroment					
اــا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 December 2003</u> is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 1/27/06	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/736,446 Page 2

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because the following informalities: all boxes should be labeled descriptively.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 2613

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation of "...the controller signaling, by means of a desired value, a predetermined data rate or package size a predetermined data rate or package size selectively either to the data source or to the optical transmitter." However, the instant specification does not disclose or teach the corresponding structures or materials to enable one skilled in the art to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 2 recites the limitation of "... the controller being disposed between the data source and the optical transmitter and converting the data of the data source in accordance with a desired value to a predetermined data rate or to packages of predetermined package size." However, the instant specification does not disclose or teach how does the controller convert the data to a predetermined "data rate" or "package size".

Claim 3 recites the limitation of "... the controller comprises means for ... issuing stored data at different data rates to the transmitter". However, the instant specification does not clearly disclose any structure of the claimed "means for issuing stored data at different data rates".

Art Unit: 2613

Claim 4 recites the limitation of "desired value is set by a desired-value setting-means according to the actually prevailing transmission characteristics of the data path between the optical transmitter and the optical receiver, or according to another measured parameter". However, the instant specification does not teach or disclose any "structure" which corresponds to the claimed means.

Claim 5 recites the limitation of "an evaluation means is provided between the optical receiver and the data sink". However, the instant specification does not teach or disclose any "structure" which corresponds to the claimed means.

Claim 8 recited the limitation of "... forming a desired value of a data rate or data package size from the determined at least one parameter; setting a data rate or a size of data packages for transmission along the data path in accordance with the desired value; ...". However, the instant specification does not disclose or teach how to form "desired value of a data rate or data package size from the determined at least one parameter".

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation of "...the controller signaling, by means of a desired value, a predetermined data rate or package size a predetermined data rate or package

size selectively either to the data source or to the optical transmitter." However, it is not clear what the cited limitation means.

Claim 2 recites the limitation of "... the controller being disposed between the data source and the optical transmitter and converting the data of the data source in accordance with a desired value to a predetermined data rate or to packages of predetermined package size." However, it is not clear what it means by "converting the data of the data source in accordance with a desired value to a predetermined data rate or to packages of predetermined package size". It is not clear how can data be converted into packages of predetermined package size.

Claim 3 recites the limitation of "... the controller comprises means for ... issuing stored data at different data rates to the transmitter". However, it is not clear what it means by "issuing stored data at different data rates". It is not clear whether the controller controls the data storing means to write/read data at different rates (speeds) or controls the transmitter to transmit the data at different data rates.

Claim 8 recited the limitation of "... forming a desired value of a data rate or data package size from the determined at least one parameter; setting a data rate or a size of data packages for transmission along the data path in accordance with the desired value; ...". However, what it means by "data package".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2613

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1, 2, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Guempelein et al. (U.S. Patent US 5,535,033).

Regarding claims 1, 2, and 8, as they are understood in view of the above 112 problem, Guempelein discloses a device (fig. 1) for broadband transmission of digital optical signals between at least one first unit and at least one second unit (fig. 1, combination of elements 10, 11, and 12) traveling relative to the first unit (fig. 1, combination of elements 4, 5, and 6) along a given track (column 2, lines 17-53), the device comprising, in association with the first unit: a data source (fig. 1, data source 6) for generating a serial data stream; an optical transmitter (fig. 1, transmitter 4) for generating optical signals from the serial data stream of the data source; an optical waveguide (fig. 1, fiber 2) for guiding the optical signals generated by the optical transmitter; and also comprising, in association with the second unit; a coupling element (fig. 1, device couple signal to detector) for tapping optical signals from the optical waveguide; an optical receiver (fig. 1, detector 10) for receiving the signals tapped by the coupling element; a data sink (fig. 1, data receiver 12) for further processing the signals received by the optical receiver; wherein a controller (fig. 1, modulation unit 5) is provided for controlling the data stream, the controller being disposed between the data source and the optical transmitter and converting the data of the data source in accordance with a desired value to a predetermined data rate or to packages of predetermined package size (column 2, line 17 to column 3, line 23).

Application/Control Number: 10/736,446 Page 7

Art Unit: 2613

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 4, 6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guempelein et al. (U.S. Patent US 5,535,033).

Regarding claim 4, as it is understood in view of the above 112 problem, Guempelein differs from the claimed invention in that Guempelein does not specifically disclose that the desired value is set by a desired-value setting-means according to the actually prevailing transmission characteristics of the data path between the optical transmitter and the optical receiver. However, Guempelein further teaches that the system is to provide a contactless data transmission device which permits data to be transmitted between system components which are movable relative to each other, in a manner which achieves a continuous data connection, and which is constructed in a simple way and which is immune from interference. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to configure the system of Guempelein by a desired-value setting-means according to the actually prevailing transmission characteristics of the data path between the optical transmitter and the optical receiver in order to ensure a continuous data connection.

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claim 6, Guempelein differs from the claimed invention in that

Guempelein does not specifically disclose that a microcontroller is provided for control and diagnosis of the device. However, Guempelein further teaches that the system is to provide a contactless data transmission device which permits data to be transmitted between system components which are movable relative to each other, in a manner which achieves a continuous data connection, and which is constructed in a simple way and which is immune from interference. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to incorporate a microcontroller is provided for control and diagnosis of the device in the system of Guempelein in order to ensure a continuous data connection.

Regarding claim 7, Guempelein differs from the claimed invention in that Guempelein does not specifically disclose that the device is self-learning and during operation dynamically adapts to currently prevailing operating conditions. However, Guempelein further teaches that the system is to provide a contactless data transmission device which permits data to be transmitted between system components which are movable relative to each other, in a manner which achieves a continuous data connection, and which is constructed in a simple way and which is immune from interference. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to configure the device of Guempelein to be self-learning and during operation dynamically adapts to currently prevailing operating conditions in order to ensure a continuous data connection and immune from interference.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,446 Page 9

Art Unit: 2613

10. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guempelein et al. (U.S. Patent US 5,535,033) in view of Moss et al. (U.S. Patent US 5,477,366).

Regarding claim 3, as it is understood in view of the above 112 problem,
Guempelein differs from the claimed invention in that Guempelein does not specifically
disclose that the controller comprises means for storing data and issuing stored data at
different data rates for the transmitter. However, Examiner takes Official Notice that it is
well known in the art to configure a controller to comprises means for storing data. In
addition, it is well known in the art to transmit data at different rates. For example, Moss
discloses an optical transmission system can transmit data at different rate (column 1,
lines 19-31). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at
the time when the invention was made to incorporate a means for storing data and
issuing data at different data rates for the transmitter, as it is disclosed by Moss, in the
system of Guempelein in order to ensure a continuous data connection and immune
from interference.

11. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guempelein et al. (U.S. Patent US 5,535,033) in view of Landis (U.S. Patent US 5,659,368).

Regarding claim 5, as it is understood in view of the above 112 problem,

Guempelein differs from the claimed invention in that Guempelein does not specifically

Art Unit: 2613

disclose that an evaluation means is provided between the optical receiver and the data sink; the evaluation means has additional means for signaling incorrectly transmitted data to the controller by means of an auxiliary transmission channel; and the controller is adapted to repeat a transmission of incorrectly received data packages upon request by the evaluation means. However, it is well known in the art to use an evaluation means check the reception of the data and request a retransmission of incorrectly received data packages. For example, Landis discloses to use an evaluation means check the reception of the data and request a retransmission of incorrectly received data packages (column 4, lines 55-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to incorporate an evaluation means check the reception of the data and request a retransmission of incorrectly received data packages, as it is disclosed by Landis, in the system of Guempelein in order to ensure a continuous data connection and immune from interference.

Page 10

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Glen et al. (U.S. Patent US 6,208,784 B1) discloses a fiber optical multiple access system wherein the information can be accessed using a slidably mounted optical tap at different position of the hollow waveguide. Harrison et al. (U.S. Patent US 6,396,613 B1) disclose an optical high speed communications for a computed tomography X-ray machine to reliably transmit high data rate data. Lohr et al.

Art Unit: 2613

(U.S. Patent US 6,650,843 B1) disclose a device for providing optical signal

transmission between a transmitter unit and a receiving unit which is mobile relative to

Page 11

the transmitter unit.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Quan-Zhen Wang whose telephone number is (571)

272-3114. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM, Monday -

Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

qzw 8/20/2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600